

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Unit d States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

*AS*

APPLICATION NO. <i>09/445,050</i>	FILING DATE <i>03/06/00</i>	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR <i>CHRISTOU</i>	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. <i>V SHP-PT050</i>
--------------------------------------	--------------------------------	---	---

MARILOU E WATSON  
VOLPE AND KOENIG  
400 ONE PENN CENTER  
1617 JOHN F KENNEDY BLVD  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

IM22/0717

EXAMINER

XU, L

ART UNIT

1774

PAPER NUMBER

*11*

DATE MAILED: 07/17/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

11

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

The reply filed on is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): Applicant's election of Group II, new claims 24-35, in Paper No.10 is acknowledged, however, applicants do not respond to the election of species requirement set forth on page 3 of the prior Office action. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II claims in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the complex recited in original Group II of the device claims is not anticipated by the cited reference, US 5,707,745. This is not found persuasive because the device claimed in the instant claim 45 comprises a complex in which one or more of the ligands comprise one or more pyrazolyl groups and the reference device also comprises a complex in which two of the ligands contain pyrazolyl groups. The lack of unity Election/Restrictions requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700